

MEMORANDUM FOR:

These services used primarily
in conjunction with [redacted]

[redacted] contract
"Photographic and fine-scan
Image Examination", per

[redacted] note. Let's review this
contract, and then discuss both

[redacted] I have ordered both.

(DATE)

To get me copy of contract 7/19

RM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101
AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

NGA Review Complete

ROUTING SLIP		
FROM	DATE	
<i>ted</i>	<i>7/18</i>	
TO	DATE	INITIALS
✓ C/PPBS		
DC/PPBS		
XO/PPBS		
MSD		
●		
P&PD		
RD		
●		

Ed,
 Attached comments are quick &
 dirty (and perhaps overstated).
 Think you had some thoughts on
 this one also.

ted

1. Regarding the attached, I have three general questions: (1) what type of services are to be provided?; (2) are these services really necessary?; and, (3) why is the contractor more uniquely qualified to do this than we are in house? These questions are briefly elaborated on in the ensuing paragraphs.

2. Question (1). The memo from the Chief, TSSG to the Director, NPIC requesting approval leads me to feel that this should more rightly be a subcontract under the [] contract. This feeling is reinforced ^{by} pp 3 and 4 of the [] proposal. The memo dated 26 June 1968 from [] to the Chief, PPBS leaves me with the impression that this should be a service contract drawn up, funded and monitored by IEG.

3. Question (2). The text of the proposal (pp 3 & 4) states that [] will "assist", "provide continued liaison", "brief", and provide "photo-processing support". The statements are so vague that they could be construed to mean almost anything. I can get no "handle" on the level of effort.

4. Question (3). Based on the resumes contained in the [] proposal, I have difficulty finding any unique capability that is not available in the Center in abundance. In fact, while resumes can be misleading, I would judge that the Center has at least a hundred persons with formal education and practical experience which surpasses that of the [] Program Manager []